

Avoiding Monochromatic Sequences With Special Gaps

Bruce M. Landman

Department of Mathematics, State University of West Georgia, Carrollton, GA 30118

Aaron Robertson

Department of Mathematics, Colgate University, Hamilton, NY 13346

Abstract

For S a set of positive integers, and k and r fixed positive integers, denote by $f(S, k; r)$ the least positive integer n (if it exists) such that within every r -coloring of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there must be a monochromatic sequence $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ with $x_i - x_{i-1} \in S$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. We consider the existence of $f(S, k; r)$ for various choices of S , as well as upper and lower bounds on this function. In particular, we show that this function exists for all k if S is an odd translate of the set of primes and $r = 2$.

1 Introduction

Van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions [9] states that for every partition of the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ into r sets, at least one of the sets will contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. An equivalent form of this theorem says that for all positive integers k and r , there exists a positive integer $n = w(k; r)$ such that within every r -coloring of $[1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there must be a monochromatic k -term arithmetic progression. By replacing the set of arithmetic progressions, AP , with another family $\mathcal{F}$ of sets, one may ask if the corresponding theorem holds, i.e., is it true that for all k and r , there exists a positive integer $n = f(k, r)$ such that for every r -coloring of $[1, n]$, there is a monochromatic k -term member of $\mathcal{F}$? Examples may be found in [4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

In [5], the authors considered replacing AP with a smaller collection of sets, namely the collection of those arithmetic progressions $\{x + id : 0 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$ whose common differences, d , belong to some prescribed set. Specifically, for a positive integer r , and A a set of positive integers, call A an r -large set if for every r -coloring of the positive integers there exist arbitrarily long monochromatic arithmetic progressions whose common differences belong to A . Further, define a set to be *large* if it is r -large for every r . They gave several sufficient conditions and some necessary conditions for largeness and 2-largeness. They also conjectured that any set that is 2-large must also be large.

In this paper we consider a property related to largeness. As with largeness, we consider sequences where the differences between consecutive terms belong to a prescribed set S ; however, we do not insist that the sequence be an arithmetic progression. We begin with the following notation and definitions.

Notation. For any string w and any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by w^t the string $\underbrace{ww \cdots w}_t$.

Definition 1.1 Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. A sequence of positive integers $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a k -term S -diffsequence if $x_i - x_{i-1} \in S$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$.

Definition 1.2 Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. A set of positive integers S is called r -accessible is whenever $\mathbb{N}$ is r -colored, there are arbitrarily long monochromatic S -diffsequences.

Definition 1.3 S is called *accessible* if S is r -accessible for all positive integers r .

Definition 1.4 If S is not accessible, the *degree of accessibility* of S is the largest value of r such that S is r -accessible. We denote this by $\text{DA}(S)$.

We denote by $f(S, k; r)$ the least positive integer n (if it exists) such that for every r -coloring of $[1, n]$ there is a monochromatic k -term S -diffsequence. Obviously, if $S \subseteq T$, then $f(S, k; r) \geq f(T, k; r)$.

Denote the family of all accessible sets by $\mathcal{A}$ and the family of all r -accessible sets by $\mathcal{A}_r$. Likewise denote the families of large sets and r -large sets by $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_r$, respectively. Clearly, $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{L}_r \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$ for all r . In [5], it was conjectured that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_2$. As we shall see, $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 \neq \mathcal{L}_2$. We still do not know whether $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}$.

In Section 2 we present some basic lemmas and consider a few elementary examples. Section 3 deals with sets consisting of certain congruence classes; in particular, we will see that for each positive integer d , there is some set having d as its degree of accessibility (this is in contrast to what has been conjectured about large sets). In Section 4 we prove that for each odd positive integer t there are arbitrarily long sequences of primes $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$ such that $p_i - p_{i-1} \in P + t$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$, where P is the set of primes. From this it will follow that $P + t \in \mathcal{A}_2$. Section 5 contains some open questions, as well as a table of computer-generated values of $f(S, k; 2)$ for several different sets S and values k .

2 A Few Simple Examples

We begin with two useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 Let $c \geq 0$ and $r \geq 2$, and let S be a set of positive integers. If every $(r-1)$ -coloring of S yields arbitrarily long monochromatic $(S + c)$ -diffsequences, then $S + c \in \mathcal{A}_r$.

Proof. Let $S = \{s_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and assume every $(r-1)$ -coloring of S admits arbitrarily long monochromatic $(S+c)$ -diffsequences. Let χ be an r -coloring of $\mathbb{N}$. By induction on k , we show that, under χ , for all k there are k -term monochromatic $(S+c)$ -diffsequences. Since there are obviously 1-term sequences, assume $k \geq 1$ and that under χ there is a monochromatic $(S+c)$ -diffsequence $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. We may assume X has the color red. Consider $A = \{x_k + s_i + c : s_i \in S\}$. If some member of A is colored red, then we have a red $(k+1)$ -term $(S+c)$ -diffsequence. Otherwise we have an $(r-1)$ -coloring of A and therefore, by the hypothesis, A must contain arbitrarily long monochromatic $(S+c)$ -diffsequences. $\square$

Remark 1. The converse of Lemma 2.1 is false. As one example, let $S = \{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{N} - 1)$. Let χ be the 2-coloring of S defined by $\chi(x) = 1$ if $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $x = 2$, and $\chi(x) = 0$ if $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then χ does not yield arbitrarily long monochromatic S -diffsequences (there are none of length four). On the other hand, $S \in \mathcal{A}_3$ [8, Remark (5)], and in fact $f(S, k; 3) \leq 6k^2 - 13k + 6$; more generally, from this same reference it follows that if m is even, and j is a positive integer, then the set $\{jm\} \cup \{x : x \equiv \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m}\}$ is 3-accessible.

Lemma 2.2 Let S be a set of positive integers and let $k, r, j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f(S, k; r) = M$, then $f(jS, k; r) = j(M-1) + 1$.

Proof. Since $f(S, k; r) = M$, under any r -coloring of the set $\{1, j+1, 2j+1, \dots, (M-1)j+1\}$, there must exist a monochromatic k -term jS -diffsequence.

On the other hand, let χ be an r -coloring of $[1, M-1]$ that avoids monochromatic S -diffsequences of length k . Define the r -coloring χ' of $[1, j(M-1)]$ by $\chi'[(i-1)j+1, ij] = \chi(i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, M-1$. Assume, by way of contradiction, that $\chi'(x'_1) = \dots = \chi'(x'_k)$ with $x'_i - x'_{i-1} \in jS$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Then, by the way χ' is defined, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_k$, monochromatic under χ , belonging to $[1, M-1]$, with $x_i - x_{i-1} \in S$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$, a contradiction. $\square$

Using Lemma 2.1, with $r = 2$, it is clear that the set $\{2^i : i \geq 0\}$ is 2-accessible. The following result tells us more.

Theorem 2.3 Let $a \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 3\}$, and let

$$S = \{(a-1)a^j : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{(a-1)^2 a^j : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Then $2 \leq DA(S) \leq a$. Furthermore, $f(S, k; 2) \leq a^k - a + 1$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. To show that $DA(S) \leq a$, we exhibit an $(a+1)$ -coloring of $\mathbb{N}$ which avoids monochromatic 2-term S -diffsequences. Define $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, a\}$ by $\chi(x) = i$ where $x \equiv i \pmod{a+1}$. Assume that $\chi(y) = \chi(z)$ and that $z - y \in S$. By the definition of χ , $a+1$ divides $z - y$, and therefore either $(a+1)|(a-1)a^j$ or $(a+1)|(a-1)^2 a^j$ for some $j \geq 0$. Since $\gcd(a-1, a) = 1$, we have $(a+1)|a^j$ or $(a+1)|(a-1)^2$, but since $a \neq 3$, neither of these is possible.

Now let $\alpha : [1, a^k - a + 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. To complete the proof we show that under α there must be a monochromatic k -term S -diffsequence. We do this by induction on k . Obviously, it

holds for $k = 1$. Now assume $k \geq 2$, and that it holds for $k - 1$. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ be a monochromatic S -diffsequence, say of color 0, that is contained in $[1, a^{k-1} - a + 1]$. Consider the set $A = \{x_{k-1} + (a - 1)a^i : i = 0, \dots, k - 1\}$. Note that $A \subseteq [1, a^k - a + 1]$. If there exists $y \in A$ of color 0, then $X \cup \{y\}$ is a monochromatic k -term S -diffsequence. If, on the other hand, no such y exists, then A is a monochromatic k -term S -diffsequence. $\square$

Corollary 2.4 If $S = \{2^i : i \geq 0\}$, then $\text{DA}(S) = 2$ and

$$8(k - 3) + 1 \leq f(S, k, 2) \leq 2^k - 1$$

for all $k \geq 3$.

Proof. The fact that $\text{DA}(S) = 2$ and the upper bound are immediate from Theorem 2.3.

For the lower bound, first note that by direct calculation we find that $f(S, 3; 2) = 7$ and $f(S, 4; 2) = 11$. To complete the proof we show by induction on k that, for $k \geq 5$, the 2-coloring $\chi_k = (10010110)^{k-3}$ avoids monochromatic k -term S -diffsequences. It is easy to check directly that this statement is satisfied by $k = 5$. So now assume $k \geq 5$, that χ_k avoids k -term S -diffsequences, and consider χ_{k+1} .

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ be a maximal length monochromatic S -diffsequence under χ_{k+1} . We wish to show that $m \leq k$. Assume, by way of contradiction, that $m \geq k + 1$. Then $x_{m-1}, x_m \in [8(k - 3) + 1, 8(k - 2)]$, or else the inductive assumption would be contradicted. We consider the following cases.

Case 1. $\chi_{k+1}(X) = 1$.

Subcase (a). $x_{m-2} \in [8(k - 3) + 1, 8(k - 2)]$.

In this subcase we must have $x_{m-2} = 8k - 20$, $x_{m-1} = 8k - 18$, and $x_m = 8k - 17$. By the structure of χ_k , we see that $x_{m-3} \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. Hence, there exists, under χ_k , a monochromatic S -diffsequence of length $m - 1$, contradicting our assumption about χ_k .

Subcase (b). $x_{m-2} \notin [8(k - 3) + 1, 8(k - 2)]$.

Then $x_{m-1} = 8k - 18$ and $x_m = 8k - 17$. By the structure of χ_k , this implies $x_{m-2} \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. Then there is an $(m - 1)$ -term monochromatic S -diffsequence under χ_k , a contradiction.

Case 2. $\chi_{k+1}(X) = 0$.

Subcase (a). $x_{m-2} \in [8(k - 3) + 1, 8(k - 2)]$.

For this case we have $x_{m-2} = 8k - 22$, $x_{m-1} = 8k - 21$, and $x_m = 8k - 19$. Then either $x_{m-3} = 8(k - 3)$ or $x_{m-3} \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. If $x_{m-3} = 8(k - 3)$, then $m - 3 \leq k - 3$ because there can be only one term of an S -diffsequence per 10010110-string, a contradiction. If $x_{m-3} \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, then there is an $(m - 1)$ -term S -diffsequence of color 0 under χ_k , a contradiction.

Subcase (b). $x_{m-2} \notin [8(k - 3) + 1, 8(k - 2)]$.

Then $x_{m-1} = 8k - 21$ and $x_m = 8k - 19$, and hence $x_{m-2} \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. This is not possible, since there would then be a monochromatic $(m-1)$ -term S -diffsequence under χ_k . $\square$

We next show that $a = 2$ is the only value of a for which $\{a^i : i \geq 0\} \in \mathcal{A}_2$. To this end, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 Let $m \geq 2$ and $i \geq 1$ with $\gcd(i, m) = 1$. Let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \equiv i \pmod{m}\}$. Then $S \notin \mathcal{A}_2$.

Proof. Let $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be defined by $\chi(x) = 0$ if and only if m divides x . Then since any m -term S -diffsequence must include some multiple of m and some non-multiple of m , there is no monochromatic m -term S -diffsequence. $\square$

Proposition 2.6 If $a \geq 3$, then $\{a^i : i \geq 0\} \notin \mathcal{A}_2$.

Proof. Let $T = \{a^i : i \geq 0\}$. Then $T \subseteq \{x : x \equiv 1 \pmod{a-1}\}$, and the result follows from Lemma 2.5. $\square$

In [5] it was shown that if $A \notin \mathcal{L}_r$ and $B \notin \mathcal{L}_s$, then $A \cup B \notin \mathcal{L}_{rs}$ (hence, whenever a finite union of sets is large, at least one of the sets must be large). Essentially the same proof can be used to prove the following lemma. We omit the proof.

Lemma 2.7 If $S \notin \mathcal{A}_r$ and $T \notin \mathcal{A}_s$, then $S \cup T \notin \mathcal{A}_{rs}$.

It is easy to see, using Lemma 2.1, that the set $S = \{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{N} - 1)$ is 2-accessible, since the set of odd numbers itself is an S -diffsequence. The next theorem tells us more about S .

Theorem 2.8 If $S = \{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{N} - 1)$, then $\text{DA}(S) = 3$. Furthermore, $f(S, k; 3) \leq 6k^2 - 13k + 6$ and

$$f(S, k; 2) = \begin{cases} 3k - 4 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ 3k - 3 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Proof. The fact that $\text{DA}(S) \geq 3$ and the bound for $f(S, k; 3)$ were mentioned in Remark 1. The fact that $\text{DA}(S) < 4$ follows from Lemma 2.7. To see this, note that the 2-coloring of $\mathbb{N}$ given by 001100110011... shows that $\{2\}$ is not 2-accessible and that the 2-coloring of $\mathbb{N}$ given by 01010101... shows that $2\mathbb{N} - 1$ is not 2-accessible. Hence, we have that $\text{DA}(S) = 3$.

Let $g(k)$ be the function on the right side of (1). We next show that $g(k)$ is an upper bound for $f(S, k; 2)$. By direct computation it is easily checked that $f(S, k; 2) \leq g(k)$ for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$. To show this inequality holds for $k \geq 4$, it suffices to show that for every $\{0, 1\}$ -coloring of $[1, g(k)]$, there exist S -diffsequences $X_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k_1}\}$ and $X_2 = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k_2}\}$ where X_1 has color 0, X_2 has color 1, and $k_1 + k_2 \geq 2k - 1$. This last fact is true for $k = 4$ and $k = 5$ by

direct computation. To show it holds for all k we proceed by induction on k , showing that its truth for k implies its truth for $k + 2$.

Assume that $k \geq 4$, and that for every 2-coloring of $[1, g(k)]$ there exist monochromatic sequences X_1 and X_2 as described above. Now 2-color $[1, g(k+2)] = [1, g(k)+6]$. To complete the proof we show that there exists a k'_1 -term S -diffsequence of color 0 and a k'_2 -term S -diffsequence of color 1 with

$$k'_1 + k'_2 \geq 2k + 3. \quad (2)$$

We assume, without loss of generality, that $k_1 \geq k_2$. Let $Y = \{x_{k_1} + 1, x_{k_1} + 2, \dots, x_{k_1} + 6\}$. We consider the following cases.

Case 1. There exist at least four elements of Y that have color 0.

It is easy to see that these four elements may be appended to X_1 to form a monochromatic S -diffsequence, and hence (2) holds.

Case 2. Exactly three elements of Y have color 0.

Then there exist two elements, a and b , of these three such that $X_1 \cup \{a, b\}$ forms a $(k_1 + 2)$ -term S -diffsequence. Likewise there exist two members, c and d , of Y , having color 1 and such that $X_2 \cup \{c, d\}$ forms a $(k_2 + 2)$ -term S -diffsequence. This implies (2) for this case.

Case 3. Two or fewer elements of Y have color 0.

Then we may extend X_2 to an S -diffsequence, monochromatic with color 1, of length $k'_2 \geq k_2 + 4$. Again (2) holds.

To complete the proof of the theorem, we show that $f(S, k, 2) \geq g(k)$ by exhibiting a 2-coloring of $[1, g(k) - 1]$ that avoids monochromatic k -term S -diffsequences. We begin with the case in which k is even. Let C_k be the following coloring of $[1, 3k - 4]$: $C_k = 1(000111)^{\frac{k-2}{2}}0$. By symmetry it suffices to show there is no k -term S -diffsequence with color 1. We prove this by induction on n , where $k = 2n$. Obviously the coloring 10 avoids 2-term monochromatic S -diffsequences, and the coloring 10001110 avoids 4-term monochromatic S -diffsequences, and hence the result holds for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$.

Now assume $n \geq 2$, and that C_k does not yield any k -term monochromatic S -diffsequences with color 1. Now $C_{k+2} = C_k 001110$. Let X be a monochromatic S -diffsequence of color 1 in C_k having maximal length. So $|X| < k$. Obviously, at least one of $\{3k - 7, 3k - 6\}$ belongs to X . Hence $3k - 5$ also belongs to X . Hence, at most two members of $\{3k - 1, 3k, 3k + 1\}$ may be tacked on to X to form a monochromatic S -diffsequence. Thus, under the coloring C_{k+2} , there is no $k + 2$ -term S -diffsequence with color 1. This completes the proof for k even.

Now consider the case in which k is odd. Let $D_k = 11(000111)^{\frac{k-3}{2}}00$. The proof is completed in a straightforward manner, similar to the even case, by induction on $n = (k - 1)/2$, by showing that the longest S -diffsequence with color 1 cannot have length greater than $k - 1$. We omit the details. $\square$

It is a simple exercise to give an upper bound on $f(S, k; 2)$ when S is the set of Fibonacci numbers. The proof is left to the reader.

Proposition 2.9 Let $F = \{F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots\} = \{1, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. Then $f(F, k, 2) \leq F_{k+3} - 2$.

We conclude this section with the following simple result, which provides us with examples of very sparse sets which are nonetheless accessible.

Theorem 2.10 Let $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be infinite. Then $T - T = \{t - s : s < t \text{ and } s, t \in T\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider any r -coloring of $T - T$. Fix $s \in T$. Let $\{t_1, t_2, \dots\} = \{t \in T : t > s\}$ where $t_1 < t_2 < \dots$, and let $A = \{t_i - s : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Obviously, there is some color which contains an infinite subset, B , of A . Since B is a $(T - T)$ -diffsequence, by Lemma 2.1, $T - T \in \mathcal{A}$. $\square$

3 Some Results on Sets of Congruence Classes

We now look at the accessibility of certain collections of congruence classes. In [5] it was proved that if a set A belongs to $\mathcal{L}_2$, then A must contain a multiple of every positive integer. We have seen that this is not true if we replace $\mathcal{L}_2$ with $\mathcal{A}_2$ (see, for example, Corollary 2.4 or Theorem 2.8). By the next lemma, we see that this condition is necessary in order for a set to be accessible.

Lemma 3.1 If $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and S contains no multiple of r , then $S \notin \mathcal{A}_r$.

Proof. Consider the r -coloring $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, r - 1\}$ defined by $\chi(x) = i$ if $x \equiv i \pmod{r}$. This coloring avoids 2-term monochromatic S -diffsequences. $\square$

We now consider the set of positive integers that, for a given m , are not multiples of m . We shall denote this set by S_m . In [5], it was shown that $S_m \notin \mathcal{L}_2$, and by Lemma 3.1, $S_m \notin \mathcal{A}$. By the following result, $S_m \in \mathcal{A}_2$ for $m > 2$, thus giving another example for which “2-accessible” does not imply “2-large.”

Theorem 3.2 Let $m \geq 2$. Then $\text{DA}(S_m) = m - 1$.

Proof. The fact that $\text{DA}(S_m) \leq m - 1$ follows from Lemma 3.1.

To prove the reverse inequality, let χ be any $(m - 2)$ -coloring of S . Then some color must contain an infinite number of elements from each of at least two of the residue classes $1 \pmod{m}$, $2 \pmod{m}$, ..., $(m - 1) \pmod{m}$. Thus, some color contains arbitrarily long S -diffsequences. By Lemma 2.1, $S \in \mathcal{A}_{m-1}$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

An immediate and noteworthy corollary of Theorem 3.2 is the following.

Corollary 3.3 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $DA(S) = d$.

In the next theorem, we give the exact value of $f(S_m, k; 2)$ for $m = 3$ and $m = 4$. We use $g(k)$ to denote the right-hand side of (1) (from Theorem 2.8).

Theorem 3.4 Let $k \geq 2$. Then (i) $f(S_3, k; 2) = 4k - 5$ and (ii) $f(S_4, k; 2) = g(k)$.

Proof. To prove $f(S_3, k; 2) \geq 4k - 5$, consider the coloring $\chi : [1, 4k - 6] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, defined by $\chi(i) = 0$ if $i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and $\chi(i) = 1$ if $i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In each color there are $k - 2$ pairs of consecutive elements that differ by 3. Hence in each color there are at most $2(k - 2) + 1 - (k - 2) = k - 1$ elements that can belong to the same S_3 -diffsequence. Hence $f(S_3, k; 2) > 4k - 6$.

To prove the reverse inequality we will show the following stronger statement is true: for every 2-coloring $\chi : [1, 4k - 5] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ there exist S_3 -diffsequences $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k_1}\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k_2}\}$ with $\chi(X) = 0$ and $\chi(Y) = 1$ and $k_1 + k_2 \geq 2k - 1$. We prove this last statement by induction on k . It is easy to check that the statement holds for $k = 2$. Now assume $k \geq 2$, and that the result holds for k . Let χ be any 2-coloring of $[1, 4k - 1]$. By inductive hypothesis, within $[1, 4k - 5]$, there exist monochromatic sequences X and Y as described above. Without loss of generality, we assume $x_{k_1} \geq y_{k_2}$. We consider three cases.

Case 1. $x_{k_1} \equiv y_{k_2} \pmod{3}$.

Consider the numbers $x_{k_1} + 1$ and $x_{k_1} + 2$. Regardless of their colors, we now have monochromatic sets $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k'_1}\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k'_2}\}$ with $k'_1 + k'_2 = k_1 + k_2 + 2 \geq 2k + 1$.

Case 2. $x_{k_1} \equiv (y_{k_2} + 1) \pmod{3}$.

Let $A = \{x_{k_1} + i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$, and let $A_0 = \{x \in A : \chi(x) = 0\}$ and $A_1 = \{x \in A : \chi(x) = 1\}$. We may break this into the following three subcases: (i) A_0 contains one of the pairs $\{x_{k_1} + 1, x_{k_1} + 2\}$, $\{x_{k_1} + 1, x_{k_1} + 3\}$, $\{x_{k_1} + 2, x_{k_1} + 3\}$, $\{x_{k_1} + 2, x_{k_1} + 4\}$; (ii) A_1 contains one of the pairs $\{x_{k_1} + 1, x_{k_1} + 2\}$, $\{x_{k_1} + 1, x_{k_1} + 3\}$, $\{x_{k_1} + 3, x_{k_1} + 4\}$; (iii) $A_0 = \{x_{k_1} + 1, x_{k_2} + 4\}$ and $A_1 = \{x_{k_1} + 2, x_{k_1} + 3\}$. In subcase (i), it is clear that there will be a $(k_1 + 2)$ -term S_3 -diffsequence with color 0, which gives the desired result. For subcase (ii), we have a $(k_2 + 2)$ -term S_3 -diffsequence with color 1. For subcase (iii) the monochromatic S_3 -diffsequences $X \cup \{x_{k_1} + 1\}$ and $Y \cup \{x_{k_1} + 3\}$ yield the desired result.

Case 3. $x_{k_1} \equiv (y_{k_2} + 2) \pmod{3}$.

Let A , A_0 , and A_1 be defined as in Case 2. The following three subcases, which parallel the subcases of Case 2, yield the same respective results as those of Case 2:

(i) same as Case 2, subcase (i)

- (ii) A_1 contains one of the pairs $\{x_{k_1} + 2, x_{k_1} + 3\}$, $\{x_{k_1} + 2, x_{k_1} + 4\}$, $\{x_{k_1} + 3, x_{k_1} + 4\}$
- (iii) $A_0 = \{x_{k_1} + 3, x_{k_1+4}\}$ and $A_1 = \{x_{k_1} + 1, x_{k_1} + 2\}$.

The fact that $f(S_4, k; 2) \leq g(k)$ follows immediately by Theorem 2.2, since $\{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{N} - 1) \subseteq S_4$. Also, the colorings C_k and D_k used in the proof of Theorem 2.8 not only avoid monochromatic $(\{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{N} - 1))$ -diffsequences, but they also avoid monochromatic S_4 -diffsequences. Hence, $f(S_4, k; 2) \geq g(k)$. $\square$

Although we do not have a formula for $f(S_m, k; 2)$ for $m > 4$, the next theorem gives a lower bound which we believe is the exact value of this function.

Theorem 3.5 Let $m \geq 5$, and let $am \leq k < (a + 1)m$. Then

$$2k + 2a - 1 \leq f(S_m, k; 2).$$

Furthermore, if $1 \leq k < m$, then $f(S_m, k; 2) = 2k - 1$.

Proof. For the case in which $1 \leq k < m$, choosing any 2-coloring of $[1, 2k - 2]$ such that there are $k - 1$ elements of each color shows that $f(S_m, k; 2) \geq 2k - 1$. On the other hand, every 2-coloring of $[1, 2k - 1]$ yields a monochromatic set $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ such $x_i - x_{i-1} < m$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$.

Now let a be as in the statement of the theorem. The lower bound follows by observing that the 2-coloring

$$(10^{m-1})^a (1^{m-1}0)^a 0^{k-a(m-1)-1} 1^{k-a(m-1)-1}$$

avoids monochromatic k -term S_m -diffsequences. $\square$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5, we know that if $m \geq 2$ and $c \in \{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$ then the set of positive integers that are congruent to $c \pmod{m}$ is not 2-accessible. We would like to know about the function $f(S, k; 2)$ when S is the union of more than one congruence class modulo m , other than the case in which $S = S_m$. We present one example, but thus far have not found a general result.

Proposition 3.6 Let $S = \{x : 3 \nmid x \text{ and } 4 \nmid x\}$. Then $f(S, k; 2) = 7k - 12$ for $k \geq 3$.

Proof. To see that $f(S, k; 2) \geq 7k - 12$ for k even, note that the coloring $1(10011000110011)^{\frac{k-2}{2}}$ avoids monochromatic k -term S -diffsequences. For k odd, the same property holds for the coloring $1(10011000110011)^{\frac{k-3}{2}}(1001100)$.

To establish the upper bound we prove the following stronger statement: every 2-coloring χ of $[1, 7k - 12]$ admits monochromatic S -diffsequences $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$, with $\chi(X) = 0$ and $\chi(Y) = 1$, such that $m + n \geq 2k - 1$. We prove this by induction on k . Direct calculation shows that $f(S, 3; 2) = 9$. Now assume the statement holds for k , and let χ be a 2-coloring of $[1, 7k - 5]$. Without loss of generality, assume $x_m > y_n$. We may consider

twelve cases, one each for the congruence class, modulo 12, that contains $x_m - y_n$. We give the details for two of these cases; the others are straightforward, and we omit them.

Case 5. $x_m - y_n \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$.

If $\chi(x_m + 1) = 0$, then regardless of the value of $\chi(x_m + 2)$ we are done. Hence, assume that $\chi(x_m + 1) = 1$. If $\chi(x_m + 4) = 0$, then regardless of the value of $\chi(x_m + 2)$ we are done. Hence, assume $\chi(x_m + 4) = 1$. Hence we may assume that $\chi(x_m + 2) = 0$, which implies that $\chi(x_m + 3) = 0$. Now, regardless of the value of $\chi(x_m + 7)$, we are done.

Case 6. $x_m - y_n \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$.

If $\chi(x_m + 2) = 0$, then regardless of the value of $\chi(x_m + 1)$, we are done. Hence, assume that $\chi(x_m + 2) = 1$. This implies that we may assume that $\chi(x_m + 1) = 0$, which in turn allows us to assume that $\chi(x_m + 6) = 1$. This implies that $\chi(x_m + 3) = 1$, or else we are done. From this we may assume that $\chi(x_m + 4) = \chi(x_m + 5) = 0$. Now, if $\chi(x_m + 7) = 1$, we are done, so assume that $\chi(x_m + 7) = 0$. Then we have that $X \cup \{x_m + 5, x_m + 7\}$ is monochromatic, completing this case. $\square$

4 Translations Of The Set Of Primes

In [5], the question was raised as to whether there exist any translations of P , the set of primes, that are large, or for that matter 2-large. Since a 2-large set must contain a multiple of every integer, $P + e \notin \mathcal{L}_2$ if e is even. Likewise, by Lemma 3.1, if e is even, then $P + e \notin \mathcal{A}$, and P itself is not 4-accessible. In fact, $P \notin \mathcal{A}_3$. To see this, color the multiples of 9 green, the remaining even numbers red, and the remaining odd numbers blue. It is easy to see that any sequences of 9 reds, 9 blues, or 2 greens must have numbers which differ by a non-prime. We do not know whether P is 2-accessible or whether any even translation of P is 2-accessible. On the other hand, as we shall see in this section, all odd translations of P are 2-accessible.

We use an application, given as Theorem 4.1 below, of a theorem due to Balog [1]. Before stating the theorem, we introduce some notation.

Let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, $p \in P$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We define:

$$\pi(x; \mathbf{b}) = |\{n : 1 < n + b_i \leq x \text{ is prime for every } 1 \leq i \leq k\}|;$$

$$\rho(p) = \rho(p; \mathbf{b}) = |\{n \pmod{p} : (n + b_1)(n + b_2) \dots (n + b_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}|;$$

$$\sigma(\mathbf{b}) = \begin{cases} \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p)}{p}\right) & \text{if } \rho(p) < p \text{ for all primes } p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$T(x; \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\substack{1 < n+b_i \leq x \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}} \frac{1}{\log(n+b_1) \log(n+b_2) \dots \log(n+b_k)}.$$

Before stating Theorem 4.1, we remind the reader of the following notation.

Notation. Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be functions and let k be a parameter. We write $f(x) \gg g(x)$ if there exists a constant, c , such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \geq c$. We write $f(x) \gg_k g(x)$ if the constant c is dependent upon k .

Theorem 4.1 (Balog). Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be sufficiently large, let t be a fixed nonnegative integer, and let

$$B = \{(0, q_1 + t, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (q_i + t)) : q_i \in P, k \leq q_i \leq x/2k, 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}.$$

Define $Z = \{\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in B : \{n : 1 < n + b_k \leq x\} \neq \emptyset\}$. Then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{b} \in Z} |\pi(x; \mathbf{b}) - \sigma(\mathbf{b})T(x; \mathbf{b})| \ll_k \frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}.$$

Remark. This follows from Balog's theorem ([1], p.49) with $A = 2k$, $c = 0$, $D = 1$, and $a_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, since B is a subset of Z as defined in Balog's theorem. $\square$

We will need the following technical lemma. Before stating the lemma we give a definition.

Definition 5. Let p be prime. We call a set of polynomials $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[y]$ *p-admissible* if there exists an integer h such that p does not divide any element of the set when $y = h$. If $\mathcal{P}$ is *p-admissible* for all primes p , we call $\mathcal{P}$ *admissible*.

Lemma 4.2 Let $k \geq 2$ and let $t \geq 1$ be odd. For $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$, define the set of polynomials

$$Y_{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{k-1})}(y) = \left\{ y + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (z_j + t) : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[y]$$

and let

$$M = \{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) : k < q_1, \dots, q_{k-1} \leq x/2k \text{ are primes and } Y_{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1})}(y) \text{ is admissible}\}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ sufficiently large. Then $|M| \gg_k \left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)^{k-1}$.

Proof. Our approach is to show that for “most” $(k-1)$ -tuples of primes, $Y_{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1})}(y)$ is admissible. First of all, for any $(k-1)$ -tuple of primes $(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1})$, it is clear that $Y_{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1})}(y)$ is *p-admissible* for any prime $p \geq k$. Hence, we need to consider the *q-admissibility* for primes

$q < k$. To this end, consider those primes $r_1 = 2, r_2 = 3, \dots, r_d$ less than k . We will obtain a lower bound for the number of $(k-1)$ -tuples of primes which are r_i -admissible for all $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Let h be odd. Below, we will find $q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}$ such that $Y_{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1})}(y)$ is admissible with $y = h$. (We are in fact proving something stronger: we prove that $Y_{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1})}(y)$ is r_i -admissible with $y = h$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, i.e., the same h works for all r_i .) So that $r_i \nmid (h + q_1 + t)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, it is sufficient that for each i , $q_1 \not\equiv -h - t \pmod{r_i}$. Letting $m = \prod_{i=1}^d r_i$ we need only have q_1 belong to one specific residue class $c_1 \pmod{m}$, where $\gcd(c_1, m) = 1$. By Dirichlet's theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions, we have $\gg_k \frac{x}{\log x}$ choices for q_1 .

Similarly, once $h, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{j-1}$ have been chosen, we may choose q_j so that for each r_i , q_j avoids one specific residue class modulo r_i . Hence we need only choose q_j so that it does not belong to any of the residue classes $-(h + q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_{j-1} + jt) \pmod{r_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$. So it suffices to have q_j belong to one specific congruence class $c_j \pmod{m}$, with $\gcd(c_j, m) = 1$.

Combining this criteria for all primes less than k , we have at least $\prod_{i=2}^d (r_i - 2)$ reduced residue classes modulo $\prod_{i=2}^d r_i$. By Dirichlet's Theorem we have $\gg_k \frac{x}{\log x}$ choices for each q_i , and thus $\gg_k (\frac{x}{\log x})^{k-1}$ choices for the $(k-1)$ -tuple of primes $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k-1})$ that belong to M . $\square$

Using Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.3 For $k \geq 2$, $t \geq 1$ and odd, and $x \in \mathbf{R}^+$, sufficiently large, define

$$W = \left\{ (p, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) : p, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1} \text{ are primes and } k < q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k-1} \leq \frac{x}{2k} \right\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, let

$$S_i = \left\{ (p, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in W : p + \sum_{j=1}^i (q_j + t) \leq x \text{ is prime} \right\}$$

and let $S = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} S_i$. Then $|S| \gg_k \frac{x^k}{\log^{2k-1} x}$.

Proof. We use the notation from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2; in particular, $\mathbf{b} = (0, q_1 + t, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (q_i + t))$ and M is as in Lemma 4.2. In order to apply Theorem 4.1, we first obtain effective bounds for ρ, σ , and T .

From Theorem 4.1 we see that we may restrict our attention to those $\mathbf{b}$ such that $\sigma(\mathbf{b}) > 0$ and use the same given bound (since this restriction reduces the size of the sum in Theorem 4.1).

It is well known that $\sigma(\mathbf{b}) < \infty$ (see [2], for example). We next show that for all $\mathbf{b} = (q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in M$ we have $\sigma(\mathbf{b}) > 0$. Since

1. For any $(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in M$ we have that $Y(y) = \{y + \sum_{j=1}^i (q_j + t) : 1 \leq i \leq k-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[y]$ is admissible,

and

2. $Y(y)$ is admissible if and only if $\rho(p; \mathbf{b}) \leq p - 1$ for each prime p ,

we see that for all $\mathbf{b} = (q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in M$ we have $\rho(p; \mathbf{b}) < p$ for all primes p . Since it is also true that $\rho(p; \mathbf{b}) \leq k$ for any prime we have

$$\sigma(\mathbf{b}) \geq \prod_{p \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p}\right) \prod_{p > k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{k}{p}\right) = \sigma_k, \quad (3)$$

a constant dependent upon only k . We now show that $\sigma_k > 0$.

Clearly, we have the finite product in (3) positive, so we must show that the infinite product in (3) converges to a positive constant. To this end, let $1 + a_p = (1 - 1/p)^{-k} (1 - k/p)$. By the binomial theorem, we have $a_p = \frac{-\sum_{i=2}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} p^{-i}}{(1-1/p)^k}$. Since $|a_p| \leq \frac{\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k}{i} p^{-i}}{(1-1/p)^k} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k}{i} p^{-2}}{(1-1/p)^k} \leq \frac{\frac{\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{k}{i} p^{-2}}{1/2^k}}{1/2^k} = 2^k(2^k - k - 1)p^{-2}$, we see that $\sum_{p \in P} a_p$ converges absolutely. It follows that $\prod_{p \in P} (1 + a_p)$ converges to a positive number. Thus, from (3),

$$\text{for all } \mathbf{b} \in M, \sigma(\mathbf{b}) \geq \sigma_k > 0. \quad (4)$$

We next bound $T(x; \mathbf{b})$ by using

$$\begin{aligned} |\{n : 1 < n + b_i \leq x, 1 \leq i \leq k\}| &= (x - b_k) + O(1) \\ &= x - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (q_i + t) + O(1) \\ &> x - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} q_i - kt + O(1) \\ &> x - k \left(\frac{x}{2k} \right) + O(1) \\ &= \frac{x}{2} + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This gives us

$$T(x; \mathbf{b}) > \left(\frac{x}{2} + O(1)\right) \frac{1}{\log^k x}. \quad (6)$$

From (5) we may apply Theorem 4.1 to get

$$\sum_{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in M} \left| |\{n : n + b_i \text{ prime}, 1 \leq i \leq k\}| - \sigma(\mathbf{b})T(x; \mathbf{b}) \right| \ll_k \frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}. \quad (7)$$

Using the bounds from (3), (4), and (6) along with Lemma 4.2, inequality (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\geq \sum_{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in M} |\{n : n + b_i \text{ prime}, 1 \leq i \leq k\}| \\ &\gg_k \sum_{(q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}) \in M} \sigma(\mathbf{b})T(x; \mathbf{b}) - O\left(\frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}\right) \\ &\gg_k \sigma_k |M| \left(\frac{x}{2} + O(1)\right) \left(\frac{x}{2 \log^k x}\right) - O\left(\frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}\right) \\ &\gg_k \sigma_k \left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{x}{2 \log^k x}\right) - O\left(\frac{x^k}{\log^{2k} x}\right) \\ &\gg_k \frac{x^k}{\log^{2k-1} x} \end{aligned}$$

for x sufficiently large. $\square$

Using Lemma 4.3 we have the following result concerning the existence of arbitrarily long sequences of primes with “special gaps.”

Theorem 4.4 Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd. For any $k \geq 2$, there exist $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in P$ such that $p_i - p_{i-1} \in P + t$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 we may choose primes $p_1, q_1, \dots, q_{k-1}$ so that

$$p_i = p_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (q_j + t) \in P,$$

$2 \leq i \leq k-1$. Since $p_i - p_{i-1} = q_{i-1} + t$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$, we are done. $\square$

Combining Theorem 4.4 with Lemma 2.1, we have the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 4.5 If t is odd, then $P + t \in \mathcal{A}_2$.

5 Open Questions And Some Exact Values

There are many interesting questions left unanswered about accessibility. Here is a list of some that we would very much like to answer.

1. True or false: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}$? (this conjecture was posed by Tom Brown [3]). It was proved in [5] that a set $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$ cannot be large if $\liminf \frac{s_{i+1}}{s_i} > 1$. From the present paper we know that $T - T \in \mathcal{A}$ for any infinite T , so that a set can be very “sparse” and still be accessible. Perhaps an example showing the answer to the above question is false can be found by choosing the correct T ; for example, is the set $T - T$ large if $T = \{n! : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$?

2. For $S = \{2^i : i \geq 0\}$, what is the exact value of $f(S, k; 2)$? We believe the lower bound of Corollary 2.1 is the exact value for $k \geq 5$. In Table 1 (below) we give the first few values of this function.

3. What is the exact value of $f(S, k; 3)$ where $S = \{2\} \cup (2\mathbb{N} - 1)$?

4. What is a formula for $f(S_m, k; 2)$ that generalizes Theorem 3.4? Calculations for the case $m = 6$ support the conjecture that the lower bound of Theorem 3.5 is the actual value of f , i.e., that for $k \geq 2$,

$$f(S_6, k; 2) = \begin{cases} (5k - 4)/2 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ (5k - 5)/2 & \text{if } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ (5k - 6)/2 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (5k - 7)/2 & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

5. If t is an odd positive integer, what is $\text{DA}(P + t)$? Moreover, is it true that for every 2-coloring of P , there exist arbitrarily long monochromatic $(P + t)$ -diffsequences? If the answer to the latter question is true, then by Lemma 2.1, $P + t \in \mathcal{A}_3$.

6. What is the order of magnitude of $f(P + t, k; 2)$ for a fixed odd positive integer t ? Table 1 below includes some specific values of this function.

7. As stated earlier, $P \notin \mathcal{A}_3$. Is $P \in \mathcal{A}_2$? If so, what is the magnitude of $f(P, k; 2)$? We have calculated the first several values of $f(P, k; 2)$ (see Table 1).

8. What is the degree of accessibility of the set of Fibonacci numbers? What is the order of magnitude of $f(F, k; 2)$?

9. What can we say about $\text{DA}(S)$ and $f(S, k; 2)$ where S is the union of more than one congruence class modulo m ? That is, generalize Proposition 3.6.

The following table gives the exact value of $f(S, k; 2)$ for various choices of S and k . The symbols T , F , and P denote $\{2^i : i \geq 0\}$, the set of Fibonacci numbers, and the set of primes, respectively.

$S \setminus k$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
T	3	7	11	17	25	35	51
F	3	5	9	11	15	19	21
P	5	9	13	21	25	33	?
$P + 1$	7	13	21	27	35	?	?
$P + 2$	9	17	25	33	?	?	?
$P + 3$	11	21	31	42	?	?	?
$P + 4$	13	25	37	?	?	?	?
$P + 5$	15	29	?	?	?	?	?
$P + 6$	17	33	?	?	?	?	?
$P + 7$	19	37	?	?	?	?	?
S_5	3	5	7	11	13	15	19
S_6	3	5	7	9	13	15	17

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Andrew Granville for guiding us to Balog's theorem and for his invaluable assistance with the proof of Lemma 4.3. We would also like to thank Scott Ahlgren for helping with some details of the proof of Lemma 4.3.

References

- [1] A. Balog, The prime k -tuplets conjecture on average, in *Analytic Number Theory: Proceedings of a Conference in Honor of Paul T. Bateman* (B.C. Berndt et. al., Editors, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990), 47-75.
- [2] P.T. Bateman and R.A. Horn, A heuristic asymptotic formula concerning the distribution of prime numbers, *Math. Comp.* **16** (1962), 363-367.
- [3] T.C. Brown, private communication.
- [4] T.C. Brown, P. Erdős, and A.R. Freedman, Quasi-progressions and descending waves, *J. Comb. Theory (A)* **53** (1990), 81-95.
- [5] T.C. Brown, R.L. Graham, and B. Landman, On the set of common differences in van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions, *Canadian Math. Bull.* **42**(1) (1999), 25-36.
- [6] B. Landman, Ramsey functions related to the van der Waerden numbers, *Discrete Math.* **102** (1992), 265-278.
- [7] B. Landman, On some generalizations of the van der Waerden number $w(3)$, *Discrete Math.* **207** (1-3) (1999), 137-147.
- [8] B. Landman, Avoiding arithmetic progressions (mod m) and arithmetic progressions, *Utilitas Math.* **52** (1997), 173-182.
- [9] B.L. van der Waerden, Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* **15** (1927), 212-216.